Measurement of the transient shielding effectiveness of enclosures using UWB pulses inside an open TEM waveguide
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Abstract. Recently, new definitions of shielding effectiveness (SE) for high-frequency and transient electromagnetic fields were introduced by Klinkenbusch (2005). Numerical results were shown for closed as well as for non closed cylindrical shields. In the present work, a measurement procedure is introduced using ultra wideband (UWB) electromagnetic field pulses. The procedure provides a quick way to determine the transient shielding effectiveness of an enclosure without performing time consuming frequency domain measurements. For demonstration, a cylindrical enclosure made of conductive textile is examined. The field pulses are generated inside an open TEM-waveguide. From the measurement of the transient electric and magnetic fields with and without the shield in place, the electric and magnetic shielding effectiveness of the shielding material as well as the transient shielding effectiveness of the enclosure are derived.

1 Introduction

The question of how to determine the protective properties of a shield against electromagnetic disturbances is a difficult one to be addressed, even in frequency domain. According to conventional standard measurement procedures (IEEE Standard 299-1997), only the electric- or magnetic shielding effectiveness (SE) of the empty shield is measured. Since the shield usually forms a cavity resonator with internal resonances, the shielding effectiveness breaks down at the cavity’s characteristic resonance frequencies. In addition, it becomes a function of position. The effects on the behaviour of the SE when a filling (e.g. a printed circuit board, PCB, inside a small enclosure) is present are even less predictable since on the one hand part of the electromagnetic energy is absorbed and on the other hand, there are numerous coupling paths into the electronic equipment. As was shown by Marvin et al. (2004, 2005), the reduction in absorbed power inside the filling when the shield is applied is less over most of the frequencies, than the SE value indicates. It was found out, that the conventional SE value of the empty shield exhibits an overestimate concerning the absorbed power at all but the resonance frequencies. The authors suggested a test setup where the shield is loaded with a replacement content that represents the original content (i.e. PCB) by having same dimensions and electrical material parameters. A different approach to determine the protection properties of a loaded shield was introduced by Camp et al. (2004). Concerning the susceptibility of electronic equipment against transient electromagnetic fields, the authors suggested to determine the decrease of the breakdown probability of electronic equipment when the shield is applied. It is a statistical approach.

Whereas the mentioned authors introduced measurement procedures with loaded enclosures, Klinkenbusch (2005) suggested measurements of the empty shield from which the effect of the shield on the specific absorption rate (SAR) of the filling is calculated. The two analytically developed measures introduced by Klinkenbusch are namely the electromagnetic shielding effectiveness $SE_{em}$ in the frequency domain and the transient shielding effectiveness $SE_t$ in the time domain. $SE_{em}$ is a function of frequency and $SE_t$ is a function of the specific field pulse against which the shield’s protective property is examined (e.g. electrostatic discharge, ESD, high power microwave, HPM, ultra wide band (UWB) pulses).

In this contribution, a time domain measurement technique for the determination of the transient shielding effectiveness is presented, where the enclosure under test (EUT) is exposed to transient fields. This approach is based on the fact, that the SE of an enclosure can either be determined directly by means of measurements in the frequency domain or indirectly by means of performing fast Fourier transformations (FFT) on recorded transient field data. Frequency domain measurements exhibit a greater accuracy and dynamic range but they are time consuming, since a frequency domain sweep has to be performed. In addition, various antennas, amplifiers and receivers have to be used in order to perform measurements along an ultra wide frequency range.
Figure 1. Setup for measurements of transient fields inside TEM-waveguide.

Table 1. Measurement Equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Generator</td>
<td>Kentech: PBG3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{D}$-Sensor</td>
<td>EG&amp;G: ACD-7C (CR) $f_g &gt; 3.5$ GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balun for $\bar{D}$</td>
<td>EG&amp;G: DMB-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{B}$-Sensor</td>
<td>EG&amp;G: MGL 6A (ER) $f_g &gt; 1.8$ GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balun for $\bar{B}$</td>
<td>EG&amp;G: DMB-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscilloscope</td>
<td>Tektronix: TDS 6604 $f_s = 6$ GHz, 20 GS/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Especially in the case of small enclosures, the size of common antennas for EMC applications does not allow measurements inside the shield. In contrast, transient measurements exhibit less dynamic range but they are quicker, since only one measurement setup is needed for the whole frequency spectrum of the used pulse.

This contribution is divided into 5 sections. After this introduction, the needed definitions of the transient shielding effectiveness are given in Sect. 2. The measurement setup is presented in Sect. 3 and the post processing of the data for the evaluation of $SE_t$ is explained in Sect. 4.

2 Definition of the transient shielding effectiveness

The transient shielding effectiveness introduced by Klinkenbusch (2005) is analytically derived from the examination of the ratio of electromagnetic energy absorbed by an unshielded load to that one absorbed by the shielded load for the same incident field at the limiting case that the load vanishes. It is based on the conventional measurement of the electric and magnetic SE at an arbitrary point $q$ inside an empty enclosure:

$$SE_{\bar{E}}|_q = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{|E_{\text{unshielded}}|_q}{|E_{\text{shielded}}|_q} \right) \text{dB}$$ (1)

$$SE_{\bar{H}}|_q = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{|H_{\text{unshielded}}|_q}{|H_{\text{shielded}}|_q} \right) \text{dB}$$ (2)

The transient shielding effectiveness is defined by the expression

$$SE_{\bar{E}}|_q = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{2}{\int_0^\infty |S_{\text{inc}}(\omega)|^2 \omega d\omega} \int_0^\infty |S_{\text{inc}}(\omega)|^2 \left( \frac{|E_{\text{shielded}}|_q}{|E_{\text{unshielded}}|_q} + \frac{|H_{\text{shielded}}|_q}{|H_{\text{unshielded}}|_q} \right) \omega d\omega \right) \text{dB}$$

where $S_{\text{inc}}(\omega)$ denotes the spectral density distribution of an arbitrary transient plane wave and $\omega$ denotes the angular frequency. It is a single value for a given or assumptive incident field pulse.

3 Measurement setup

The measurement setup (Fig. 1) consists of an open tri plate TEM-waveguide ($l = 5.8$ m, $h = 2$ m) which is basically a GTEM-cell without side walls. The septum is connected to the back wall by a network of resistors that ensures that the waveguide is matched with its characteristic wave impedance. For the high frequency match, pyramidal absorbers are placed on the back wall. The waveguide is fed by a pulse generator that generates voltage pulses of about 100 ps rise time. For the detection of the transient electric and magnetic fields, a D-dot ($\bar{D}$) sensor, a B-dot ($\bar{B}$) sensor and a fast sampling oscilloscope are used (see Table 1 for details).

The EUT is a cylindrical pouch made of conductive textile similar to the one depicted in Fig. 2. The pouch is made of two layers of conductive textile, it has got a length of approx. 83 cm and a diameter of approx. 36 cm and was originally designed for the electromagnetic protection of ordnance during transport and storage as presented by Koch et al. (2003). The shielding effectiveness of a 2 by 2 m plane sample of this textile was measured to be above 50 dB (with only few exceptions) for frequencies from 75 MHz up to 10 GHz (see Fig. 3). These measurements were carried out according to IEEE Std. 299-1997 (IEEE, 1997) in the measurement setup described by Frenzel et al. (2007).

During the particular transient measurements for this work, the pouch was positioned along the x-direction about...
Fig. 2. Pouch made of conductive textile.
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Fig. 3. Shielding effectiveness of the pouch’s conductive textile.

30 cm above the waveguide’s floor. Thus, the field components $E_y$ and $H_x$ were measured and processed later on. The Oscilloscope was set to single trigger event mode. The recorded electric and magnetic transient fields in the unshielded and shielded case are depicted in Fig. 4.

4 Data processing

Since the Oscilloscope records the sensor’s output voltage, which is influenced by the sensor’s (and the balun’s and the cable’s) transfer function, the detected field strength has to be calculated from the recorded data. Afterwards, the transient shielding effectiveness can be calculated.

4.1 Calculation of detected field strength from the sensor output voltage

The detected electric field strength was calculated from the recorded output voltage signal of the sensor by means of an integration: the D-dot sensor’s output voltage $U(t)$ is proportional to the derivative of the D-field according to

$$ U(t) = R \cdot A_{eq} \cdot \frac{\partial D(t)}{\partial t}. $$

In this case, $R$ is the impedance of the sensor’s output port and $A_{eq}$ is its equivalent sensor-surface. Using the mathematical relation between the D-field and the E-field in free space according to

$$ D(t) = \varepsilon_0 \cdot E(t), $$

Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$ E(t) = \frac{1}{R \cdot A_{eq} \cdot \varepsilon_0} \cdot \int U(t) \, dt. $$

The integration was performed numerically by means of calculating the sum of the saved digital data. In order to take account for the influence of the Balun and the cabling on the received signal, their attenuation was determined with a spectrum analyzer in a first approach. The measured values at 1 GHz were chosen for a correction calculation. A network analysis of the cabling was omitted.

4.2 Calculation of transient shielding effectiveness

$SE_e$ and $SE_m$ are determined according to Eqs. (1) and (2) by means of an FFT on the measured time domain field strength values (shown in Fig. 4). The results are depicted in Fig. 5.
In general, these results are comparable to those in Fig. 3. The strong ripples result from resonance effects of higher order modes. These modes are excited inside the pouch by the transient pulse event. In time domain, this effect causes the characteristic damped "ringing" that can be recognized in the results of the shielded measurements (Fig. 4, bottom). The fact, that $SE_e \rightarrow \infty$ and $SE_m \rightarrow 0$ for $f \rightarrow 0$ complies with the general theory of the behaviour of the shielding effectiveness of shields with limited conductivity as analysed by Klinkenbusch (2005).

For the evaluation of the pouch’s transient shielding effectiveness against a specific plane wave field pulse according to Eq. (3), the pulse’s spectral density distribution is needed. At this point, any electromagnetic pulse could be taken, as long as the upper frequency of its spectral density distribution is below the upper frequency of shielding effectiveness measurements (i.e. 1.5 GHz in the present case). For convenience, $SE_1$ shall be evaluated for the test pulse. Therefore, the five values $E_{\text{shielded}}(\omega)$, $E_{\text{unshielded}}(\omega)$, $H_{\text{shielded}}(\omega)$, $H_{\text{unshielded}}(\omega)$ and $S_{\text{inc}}(\omega)$, which are needed for Eq. (3), are determined according to the flow chart in Fig. 6. Since the result of Eq. (3) is only a single value, some intermediate results of the numerical processing are presented in Fig. 7: the upper right hand diagram shows $S_{\text{inc}}(\omega)$. On the left hand side, the numerator and denominator of Eq. (3) are presented. In order to show a graph, the upper boundary of the integral was changed into the variable angular frequency $\alpha$:

- **Numerator:** $\int_0^{\alpha} |S_{\text{inc}}(\omega')| \, \omega' \, d\omega'$
Denominator: \( \int_0^{\omega'} \left[ \left| S_{\text{inc}}(\omega') \right|^2 \right] \left[ \left| E_{\text{shielded}} \right|^2 \omega + \left| H_{\text{shielded}} \right|^2 \omega \right] d\omega' \)

The logarithmic ratio of numerator and denominator at each angular frequency is shown in the bottom right hand diagram. Since the integration boundaries in Eq. (3) are from zero to infinity, the result is the function value at the highest angular frequency. As a result, the question of the transient shielding effectiveness of the pouch against an UWB pulse of double exponential character with a rise time of 150 ps is 42 dB.

5 Conclusions

Transient field measurements have been performed inside an open TEM-waveguide in order to realize a quick measurement procedure for the evaluation of the transient shielding effectiveness, that has been introduced by Klinkenbusch (2005). For the measurements, a cylindrical pouch made of conductive textile was examined. The pouch was exposed to ultra wideband pulses of double exponential character with a rise time of about 150 ps. The \( E_y \) and \( H_x \) field components were recorded at the same position inside the TEM-waveguide with and without the shield around. Whereas the plane material of the pouch exhibits a shielding effectiveness of above 50 dB up to 10 GHz, the transient shielding effectiveness comes up with the answer 42 dB. Even though transient field measurements have the disadvantage of less dynamic range in comparison to frequency domain measurements, they contain the advantage of quick measurement procedures, where only one set of measurement equipment is needed.
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