Optimizing the wireless power transfer over MIMO Channels
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Abstract. In this paper, the optimization of the power transfer over wireless channels having multiple-inputs and multiple-outputs (MIMO) is studied. Therefore, the transmitter, the receiver and the MIMO channel are modeled as multiports. The power transfer efficiency is described by a Rayleigh quotient, which is a function of the channel’s scattering parameters and the incident waves from both transmitter and receiver side. This way, the power transfer efficiency can be maximized analytically by solving a generalized eigenvalue problem, which is deduced from the Rayleigh quotient. As a result, the maximum power transfer efficiency achievable over a given MIMO channel is obtained. This maximum can be used as a performance bound in order to benchmark wireless power transfer systems. Furthermore, the optimal operating point which achieves this maximum will be obtained. The optimal operating point will be described by the complex amplitudes of the optimal incident and reflected waves of the MIMO channel. This supports the design of the optimal transmitter and receiver multiports.

The proposed method applies for arbitrary MIMO channels, taking transmitter-side and/or receiver-side cross-couplings in both near- and farfield scenarios into consideration. Special cases are briefly discussed in this paper in order to illustrate the method.

1 Introduction

The efficiency of wireless power transfer (WPT) systems is a crucial figure of merit. In order to optimize and/or assess WPT systems, the maximum power transfer efficiency (PTE), which can be achieved over a given channel, has to be investigated. This gives rise to an optimization problem since the maximum PTE as well as the optimum channel input and output, i.e., the optimal operating point, are not a-priori known in general.

In the following, the WPT over channels having multiple-inputs and multiple-outputs (MIMO) is considered. In order to formulate the optimization problem, the MIMO channel can be modeled as a multiport (see e.g., Ivrlac and Nossek, 2010; Wallace and Jensen, 2014). This allows to define the PTE as the ratio of the dissipated power in the load at the receiver over the total transmitted power at the transmitter side (Hui et al., 2014). This approach is adopted by Zhang and Ho (2013) to study the WPT over unidirectional MIMO channels. As a result, the PTE is maximized by transmitter side “energy” beamforming if full channel state information is available. A discussion on the PTE maximization in the case of imperfect channel state information can also be found in the literature (e.g., Xiang and Tao, 2012; Xu and Zhang, 2016). However, the aforementioned approaches require a perfectly decoupled transmit and receive antenna array, respectively. Further, the unidirectional channel approximation presumes that the waves at the transmitter side are not influenced by the waves at the receiver side, which holds approximately true only for farfield WPT (Wallace and Jensen, 2014). Contrarily, Lee and Nam (2010) and Zargham and Gulak (2012) discuss the maximum PTE of nearfield coupled single-input and single-output (SISO) channels. Similar investigations are proposed by Yoon and Ling (2011) and Lang et al. (2014) for MISO channels or by Kim et al. (2010) for SIMO channels.

But an extension to general MIMO channels cannot be found and often numerical tools are applied to analyze the PTE of corresponding channels (e.g., Nguyen et al., 2014). Furthermore, the aforementioned approaches describe the channel by its impedance matrix, which is rather unfavorable from the measurement point of view. Alternatively, Yuan et al. (2010, 2013) and Wu et al. (2013) formulate the PTE of a $2 \times 2$ MIMO channel as a function of its scattering parameter.
ters. Unfortunately, an analytical solution of the optimization problem was not presented.

As can be seen, for the best knowledge of the authors, the WPT optimization over general MIMO channels is not sufficiently investigated in the literature so that basic insights regarding the PTE maximization over MIMO channels are missing, e.g., the influence of transmitter-side and/or receiver-side cross-couplings.

The contribution of this paper is in the investigation of the optimal operating point, which maximizes the PTE over a given MIMO channel. The optimal operating point will be described by the complex amplitudes of the optimal incident and reflected waves of the MIMO channel. Considering \( N \) transmit antennas and \( M \) receive antennas, the transmitter is modeled as an active \( N \)-port and the receiver as a passive \( M \)-port so that the MIMO channel can be modeled as a passive \((N + M)\)-port. It is assumed that the scattering parameters are fully known, e.g., by measurement or simulation. No further restrictions on the WPT systems under investigation are required.

In order to find the optimum operating point, the PTE definition stated in the aforementioned literature is adopted. As Yuan et al. (2013) for the \( 2 \times 2 \) system, the MIMO channel is described by its scattering parameters yielding a Rayleigh quotient. Different to the approach of Yuan et al. (2013), the derived Rayleigh quotient will be reformulated as a function of the scattering parameters of the MIMO channel and the incident waves from both transmitter and receiver side. The Rayleigh quotient will be solved by determining the eigenvalues of a generalized eigenvalue problem, which deduces from the derived Rayleigh quotient. Fortunately, the solution yields both the maximum PTE and the corresponding optimal incident and reflected waves that achieve the maximum.

As will be discussed, the proposed optimization framework applies for arbitrary MIMO channels taking transmitter-side and/or receiver-side cross-couplings in both near- and farfield scenarios into consideration. However, if the scattering matrix features certain properties, e.g., due to matched ports or due to the absence of antenna array cross-couplings, the mathematical effort reduces significantly and the outcomes of the proposed method coincide with the specific solutions in the literature.

The optimization framework can be used to gain insights in the WPT over arbitrary MIMO channels so that the design of the optimal transmitter and receiver multiports is supported. Furthermore, if only suboptimal transmitter and/or receiver multiports can be applied, the herein derived PTE can be regarded as a performance bound, which can be used in order to assess and/or enhance WPT systems.

**Structure** Section 2 introduces the system model. The optimization framework is subsequently introduced in Sect. 3. The proposed framework will be applied to the analysis of different special cases in Sect. 4 in order to demonstrate the method. The paper concludes in Sect. 5.
and the receiver array, respectively, and $S_{RT} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ and $S_{RR} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ represent the MIMO channel in forward and backward direction, respectively.

The transmitter radiates the power

$$P_{Tx} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|a_T\|^2 - \|b_T\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} a^T \begin{pmatrix} I_N - S_{RT}^T S_{TT} & -S_{TR}^T S_{TR} \\ -S_{TR} S_{TT} & -S_{TR}^T S_{TR} \end{pmatrix} a$$

$$\geq 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

It is worth noticing that in Eq. (4) some manipulations are done in order to obtain the transmitted power $P_{Tx}$ as a function of the channel input vector $a$ alone. Furthermore, the radiated power $P_{Tx}$ is constrained to be non-negative in order to ensure that the power is transferred from the transmitter into the multiport. Analogously, the received power $P_{Rx}$, which is the power dissipated in the load at the receiver side, is

$$P_{Rx} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|b_R\|^2 - \|a_R\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} a^T \begin{pmatrix} S_{RT}^T S_{RT} & S_{RR}^T S_{RR} \\ S_{RR} S_{RT} & S_{RR}^T S_{RR} - I_M \end{pmatrix} a$$

$$\geq 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For convenience, the Hermitian matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{(N+M) \times (N+M)}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{(N+M) \times (N+M)}$ are introduced.

### 3 Optimization problem

The power transfer efficiency (PTE) $\eta$ is defined in accordance to (Hui et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2013) as the ratio of the dissipated power in the load $P_{Rx}$ to the total transmitted power $P_{Tx}$. The total system efficiency is not discussed within the following optimization so that power losses in the source are not considered here, see the discussion in Hui et al. (2014).

Using the Eqs. (1)–(5), the PTE can be written as

$$\eta = \frac{\text{dissipated power in the load } P_{Rx}}{\text{total transmitted power } P_{Tx}} = \frac{a^T A a}{a^T B a},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

which is a generalized Rayleigh quotient (Moon and Stirling, 2000). On the basis of Eq. (6), the optimization problem to be solved reads

$$\eta_i = \max_a \eta \hspace{1cm} \text{subject to : } P_{Rx}, P_{Tx} \geq 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Equating the gradient of the generalized Rayleigh quotient $\eta$ to zero, one finds that $\eta$ reaches an extreme value if $a$ solves the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$A a_i = \eta_i B a_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Notice that the multiport is assumed to be passive, i.e. $a^T a \geq b^T b$, so that together with the constraints $P_{Rx}, P_{Tx} \geq 0$, only the solutions of the generalized eigenvalue problem of Eq. (8) with $0 \leq \eta_i \leq 1$ are relevant for the solution of Eq. (7). Corresponding solutions are denoted as WPT in the forward direction in the following. If the MIMO channel can be considered as unidirectional, then the constraints become irrelevant.

### 4 Examples

#### 4.1 SIMO WPT systems

First, SIMO WPT systems, see Fig. 2, are considered to illustrate the convenience of the new approach. It is assumed at this point that the receive antennas are well in the farfield of the transmit antenna and that power transmission in the forward direction is possible, i.e., $\|S_{RT}\| > 0$. This motivates an unidirectional channel model with $S_{TR} = 0_{1 \times M}$ (Ivrlac and Nossek, 2010). For simplification, receiver-side cross-couplings are neglected at this point and the ports are assumed to be ideally matched. Then, it is $S_{TT} = 0$, $S_{RR} = 0_{M \times M}$. Having said this, the nonsingular Hermitian matrix of Eq. (5) reads

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \|S_{RT}\|^2 & 0_{1 \times M} \\ 0_{M \times 1} & -I_M \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

and the Hermitian matrix of Eq. (4) reads

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times M} \\ 0_{M \times 1} & 0_{M \times M} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0_{M \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times M} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Since the inverse of the matrix $A$ exits, the generalized eigenvalue problem of Eq. (8) reduces to the specific eigenvalue problem

$$\frac{1}{\eta_i} a_i = A^{-1} B a_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
Using the matrix decomposition of Eq. (10), the specific eigenvalue problem of Eq. (11) yields

$$\frac{1}{\eta_i} a_i = \frac{1}{\eta_i} \begin{pmatrix} a_T \\ a_{R_1} \\ a_{R_2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\| S_{RT} \|^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_T \\ a_{R_1} \\ a_{R_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (12)

As a result, only one relevant solution with the eigenvalue

$$\eta_1 = \| S_{RT} \|^2$$  \hfill (13)

is obtained. Since $0 \leq \eta_1 \leq 1$, the maximum PTE is accordingly achieved in the forward direction. Straightforwardly, the optimal incident and reflect Eqs. (12) and (3), reading

$$a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_T \\ 0_{1 \times M} \end{pmatrix}^T,$$  \hfill (14)

$$b_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a_T S_{RT}^T \end{pmatrix}^T.$$  \hfill (15)

As can be seen, an optimal receiver multiport for this scenario must realize zero reflection. However, this does not hold true for arbitrary MIMO channels, which will be illustrated below in the example of receiver-side cross-couplings.

Setting $M = 1$ in the above discussion directly yields the SISO solution, i.e., $\eta_1 = |S_{RT}|^2$ and $a_1 = [1 \ 0]^T$. This corresponds to the literature when transmitter and receiver matching is considered for the PTE optimization of SISO WPT systems, compare e.g., Yuan et al. (2010); Agbinya (2012).

For simplicity, the number of receive antennas is set to $M = 2$ in the following discussion. A special case of cross-couplings between the receive antennas is $S_{23} = S_{32} = K$, where the coupling is assumed to be reciprocal and quantified by $0 \leq |K| < 1$. Reflections are still neglected so that

$$S_{RR} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ K \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$  \hfill (16)

which modifies the matrix $A$ of Eq. (9) to

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \| S_{RT} \|^2 & K S_{21}^* & K S_{21}^* \\ K^* S_{21} & K^2 - 1 & 0 \\ K^* S_{21} & 0 & K^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}. $$

Applying again the discussed solution of Eq. (12), one obtains the relevant solution

$$\frac{1}{\eta_i} a_i = \frac{1}{\eta_i} \begin{pmatrix} a_T \\ a_{R_1} \\ a_{R_2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\| S_{RT} \|^2} \begin{pmatrix} a_T K^* S_{21} \\ 1 - |K|^2 \\ a_T K^* S_{21} \\ 1 - |K|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$  \hfill (18)

with the corresponding eigenvalue

$$\eta_{1,c} = \frac{\| S_{RT} \|^2}{1 - |K|^2}.$$  \hfill (19)
max \frac{1}{\eta} \quad (25)
subject to : \quad P_{\text{Rx}}, P_{\text{Tx}} \leq 0.

This is of interest if the transmitter and receiver functionality shall be exchanged. Then, \(1/\eta_2 = \|S_{\text{TR}}\|^2\) is the maximum PTE, which can be achieved in backward direction over the corresponding \((M \times 1)-\text{MISO}\) channel. Substituting the Eqs. (9) and (22) as well as \(\eta_2\) into the generalized eigenvalue problem of Eq. (8), one easily proves that

\[
a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S_{\text{TR}}^* \end{bmatrix}^T \quad (26)
\]

and

\[
b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \|S_{\text{TR}}\|^2 & 0_{1\times M} \end{bmatrix}^T \quad (27)
\]
describe the corresponding operating point for the WPT system. This solution is known as “energy beamforming” in the literature, see Zhang and Ho (2013).

### 4.3 Directional coupler

Furthermore, a \((2 \times 2)\)-MIMO channel is considered in order to discuss the optimization framework. For simplicity, the scattering matrix is considered to be double-symmetric, i.e., \(S_{mn} = S_{nm}, \forall m, n = 1 \ldots N\), see Fig. 3. Again, the ports are matched and both transmitter-side and receiver-side cross-couplings are neglected. The corresponding 4-port scattering matrix is

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & S_{13} & S_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & S_{14} & S_{13} \\ S_{13} & S_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ S_{14} & S_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (28)
\]

With the substitution

\[
\kappa = S_{13}S_{14}^* + S_{14}S_{13}^* = 2 \text{Re} \left( S_{13}S_{14}^* \right), \quad (29)
\]

the matrix

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} \|S_{13}\|^2 + |S_{14}|^2 & \kappa & 0 & 0 \\ \kappa & \|S_{13}\|^2 + |S_{14}|^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)
\]
is obtained from Eq. (5) and, analogously, the matrix

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -|S_{13}|^2 - |S_{14}|^2 & -\kappa \\ 0 & 0 & -\kappa & -|S_{13}|^2 - |S_{14}|^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (31)
\]
is obtained from Eq. (4). Again, the inverse of the matrix \(B\) exits so that the corresponding specific eigenvalue problem has to be solved. Regarding the WPT in the forward direction, the eigenvectors are

\[
\eta_{1,2} = |S_{13}|^2 + |S_{14}|^2 \pm \kappa \quad (32)
\]

with the corresponding channel vectors

\[
a_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad (33)
\]

\[
b_{1,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S_{14} \pm S_{13} & S_{13} \pm S_{14} \end{bmatrix}^T. \quad (34)
\]

As can be seen, two relevant solutions for the optimal incident waves can be found. The basic difference is in the argument of \(a_{1,1}\). If

\[
|\arg (S_{13}) + \arg (S_{14})| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (35)
\]
i.e., the angle between \(S_{13}\) and \(S_{14}\) is smaller than \(\pi/2\), \(\kappa\) in Eq. (32) is positive and the optimal way for WPT in the forward direction is to transmit the same signal via both transmit antennas. If contrarily the angle is larger than \(\pi/2\), \(\kappa\) in Eq. (32) is negative and the optimal way is to transmit two \(\pi\)-phase shifted signals, each via one transmit antenna.

Due to the symmetry, the eigenvalues for the backward direction can be easily found by using

\[
\eta_{3,4} = \frac{1}{\eta_{1,2}}. \quad (36)
\]

Accordingly, the corresponding optimal incident and reflected waves are obtained by exchanging \(a_{\text{Tx}}\) and \(a_{\text{Rx}}\) in Eq. (33) and, respectively, \(b_{\text{Tx}}\) and \(b_{\text{Rx}}\) in Eq. (34). The discussion of the solution is analogous to the discussion of the WPT in the forward direction.
Now, the \((2 \times 2)-\text{MIMO}\) system described by the scattering matrix of Eq. (28) is further assumed to be lossless, i.e., \(SS^T = I_1\). Then, the matrix of Eq. (28) equals the scattering matrix of an ideal directional coupler (Maloratsky, 2003). The relative phase difference of the ideal coupler’s output is \(\pm \pi/2\) so that the constraint

\[
\arg (S_{13}) + \arg (S_{14}) = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}
\]

follows. Without loss of generality, \(\arg(S_{13}) = 0\) is assumed in the following. Then and by means of Eq. (37), the equality

\[
S_{14} = -S_{14}^{*}
\]

holds so that

\[
\kappa = 0,
\]

compare Eq. (29). Using the Eqs. (37)–(39), the matrices

\[
A = B = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

are obtained from the Eqs. (30)–(31), respectively. Since the inverse of the matrix \(B\) still exists, the specific eigenvalue problem

\[
I_4a_i = \eta_i a_i
\]

is obtained from Eq. (8). As a result, all eigenvalues are equal to one, which is trivial due to the absence of losses in this scenario. Eq. (41) is fulfilled by arbitrary \(a_i\) so that all possible waves are optimal for the ideal directional coupler.

5 Conclusions

This paper discusses an optimization framework for the PTE over general MIMO channels using network theory. This framework yields the maximum achievable PTE and the corresponding operating point.

The proposed framework can be used in order to gain basic insights into the wireless power transfer systems from a theoretical point of view. This is demonstrated in the paper by means of special cases, e.g., SIMO, MISO and a special \((2 \times 2)-\text{MIMO}\) system. As it is shown, a significant reduction in the analysis effort is obtained, if the considered multiport features certain properties. It is beyond the scope of this paper to entirely discuss all properties so that further investigations may have to be done.

In future work, simulations and real-world measurements may be carried out in order to assess the performance gap of suboptimal system realizations and the herein determined upper bounds. Furthermore, the relevance of the proposed framework for the performance maximization of the combined communication and power transfer over MIMO channels may be investigated.
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