Geometric considerations of polar mesospheric summer echoes in tilted beams using coherent radar imaging
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Abstract. We present observations of polar mesospheric summer echoes (PMSE) using the Middle Atmosphere Alomar Radar System in Northern Norway (69.30° N, 16.04° E). The radar is able to resolve PMSE at high spatial and temporal resolution and to perform pulse-to-pulse beam steering. In this experiment, 81 oblique beam directions were used with off-zenith angles up to 25°. For each beam pointing direction and range gate, coherent radar imaging was applied to determine the mean backscatter location. The location of the mean scatterer in the beam volume was calculated by the deviation from the nominal off-zenith angle of the brightest pixel. It shows that in tilted beams with an off-zenith angle greater than 5°, structures appear at the altitudinal edges of the PMSE layer. Our results indicate that the mean influence of the location of the maximum depends on the tilt of the beam and on the observed area of the PMSE layer. At the upper/lower edge of the PMSE layer, the mean backscatter has a greater/smaller off-zenith angle than the nominal off-zenith angle. This effect intensifies with greater off-zenith beam pointing direction, so the beam filling factor plays an important role in the observation of PMSE layers for oblique beams.

1 Introduction

Strong VHF backscatter at mesospheric heights in polar regions were described by Ecklund and Balsley (1981) for the first time. As these echoes occur only during the summer months, they were named polar mesospheric summer echoes (PMSE). PMSE are caused by inhomogeneities in the electron density of a size comparable to the radar Bragg wave length (3 m at 50 MHz radar frequency) in the presence of negatively charged aerosol particles. Different aspects of PMSE have been studied with radars around 50 MHz since their first observation, in particular the spectral width (Czechowsky and Rüster, 1997; Blix, 1999) or aspect sensitivity (Röttger and Vincent, 1978; Hocking et al., 1986) to understand the formation of these echoes. A review of the understanding of PMSE was done by Rapp and Lübken (2004), where the formation was explained by the presence of heavy charged ice particles. These ice particles lead to a significantly reduced electron diffusivity and explain the existence of PMSE in the presence of neutral air turbulence. This widely accepted theory explains the overall formation, but still the inner structure of the PMSE is mostly unknown. The limiting factor of the observation of the small scale structures is the relatively wide beam width, so interferometric techniques has been applied to gain insight into finer structures of PMSE.

In the last two decades, imaging methods developed for astronomical applications have been applied to atmospheric studies (Kudeki and Sürücü, 1991; Hysell and Chau, 2006). Together with flexible radar systems with interferometric capabilities, in-beam estimates have been done with different radars (Yu et al., 2001; Chilson et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2004; Sommer et al., 2013) to investigate PMSE. Coherent Radar Imaging (CRI) of PMSE has been usually applied with a vertical radar beam and method called Capon (e.g., Palmer et al., 1998). CRI weights the signal of several spatially separated receivers to resolve different backscatter locations within the beam volume. In the last few years, multiple beam experiments were combined with CRI to understand PMSE (Chen et al., 2008), to improve wind measurements (Sureshbabu et al., 2013) and to measure aspect sensitivity in the troposphere (Chen and Furumoto, 2013). The combination of multiple beam experiments with CRI allows to cover a large area at a high angular resolution.
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Table 1. Experiment parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRF</td>
<td>1250 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse length</td>
<td>1 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>16-bit complemenetary code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling range</td>
<td>75–111 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range resolution</td>
<td>150 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data points</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyquist frequency</td>
<td>34.72 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The flexible setup of MAARSY can be used to assign receiving channels to different antenna sub-arrays allowing different baseline lengths and directions. The smallest sub-array consists of seven antennas in the shape of a hexagon. Seven adjacent hexagons can be combined to an antenna sub-structure consisting of 49 single antennas called anemone. A sketch of the locations of the seven possible anemones is shown in Fig. 1 (left panel). MAARSY can be used for interferometry and imaging experiments by selecting up to 16 receiving channels out of the 55 hexagons or 7 anemones, revealing information within the beam volume. In this experiment, MAARSY transmitted with the whole array and 7 anemones were used for reception. These seven receiving channels have been phase calibrated using radio sources as described in Chau et al. (2013).

During the PMSE season in summer 2012, MAARSY was operated in a multi-beam mode using 81 different beam directions. In this experiment, 16 azimuth angles from 0 to 360° with an azimuthal step width of 22.5° and an off-zenith step width of 5° from 0 to 25° were used. The experiment was divided into sub-experiments, each consisting of 9 beam pointing directions and including the vertical beam. During a sub-experiment, the beam pointing position was changed along a straight line on a pulse-to-pulse basis. The coherent integration time for each sub-experiment was 7.3 s and the whole sequence took 2.4 min (including sub-experiments probing the troposphere and therefore not used here). The beam pointing directions are visualized in Fig. 1 (right panel) where the red circles indicate the areas illuminated by the radar beams at about 85 km altitude. The sampling range was from 75 to 111 km with a range resolution of 150 m. Additional experiment parameters are summarized in Table 1.

3 Coherent radar imaging (CRI)

Radar systems with multiple receiver channels attached to different spatially separated antennas can be used to perform interferometric experiments. The first basic interferometric experiments were performed by Farley et al. (1981) and Kudeki et al. (1981). This was later generalized for more receivers and baselines (Kudeki and Sürücü, 1991). Since the seminal paper by Woodman (1997), the technique is called coherent radar imaging. CRI allows to process the data in a way to derive a finer angular resolution within the illuminated beam volume. Several inversion algorithms can be used, such as Capon (Palmer et al., 1998), Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) (Hysell and Chau, 2006) or Compressed Sensing (Harding and Milla, 2013). Yu et al. (2000) showed that the results with Capon are comparable to MaxEnt in high signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) cases, and are computational faster.

In this study we present results obtained with the Middle Atmosphere Alomar Radar System (MAARSY) at Andoya in Northern Norway (69.30° N, 16.04° E). The purpose of MAARSY is to investigate the dynamics of the mesosphere by studying wind and waves on a high spatial and temporal resolution and also the inner structure of PMSE, such as thin layers, that cannot be resolved by standard measurement techniques. For example, PMSE can be used as a tracer for wind measurements. In order to improve the derivation of gravity wave parameter out of wind measurements (Stober et al., 2012), the experiment should have a better spatial resolution. We have used MAARSY with a vertical and several oblique beams and applied CRI for different range gates and beam pointing directions. This allows us to determine the fine structure of PMSE in the vertical as well as in the oblique beams and estimate the deviation of the mean scatterer from the beam pointing direction.

2 Experimental configuration

MAARSY is a powerful tool to observe the troposphere up to the mesosphere at polar latitudes. It employs an active phased array antenna system at 53.5 MHz consisting of 433 linear polarized Yagi antennas arranged in a circular shape with a diameter of 90 m. The system reaches a half power beam width of 3.6° and yields a peak power of about 800 kW. It has pulse-to-pulse beam steering capabilities with 16 receiving channels allowing the observations of PMSE at high spatial and temporal resolutions. For further system descriptions, see Latteck et al. (2012).

The Nyquist frequency is 34.72 Hz.
However, for lower SNR, MaxEnt gives better results at the expense of significantly higher processing time. Another possible algorithm is the Fourier-based algorithm (Palmer et al., 1998), but it results in a much broader brightness distributions than Capon’s algorithm. In this work we present results using Capon’s method.

This method makes use of visibility data $R_{ij}$ of $n$ spatially separated antennas $i$ and $j$. The visibility data is obtained from the normalized cross-correlation estimation:

$$R_{ij}(t) = \frac{\langle v_i(t)v_j^*(t) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle |v_i(t)|^2 \rangle \langle |v_j(t)|^2 \rangle}},$$

where $v$ are the complex voltage samples, $\langle \ldots \rangle$ is the absolute value of the complex data and $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes the time average. This can be done for all receiver pairs and $R_{ij}$ can be arranged in a matrix, containing the visibility data

$$R(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
R_{11}(t) & \cdots & R_{1n}(t) \\
R_{21}(t) & \cdots & R_{2n}(t) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
R_{n1}(t) & \cdots & R_{nn}(t)
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

The resulting brightness distribution is

$$B_c(t, k) = \frac{1}{e^t R^{-1} e}.$$  

with

$$e = \begin{bmatrix}
e^{i k D_1} \\
e^{i k D_2} \\
\vdots \\
e^{i k D_n}
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $k$ represents the wavenumber vector and $D_i$ the distance vector in meters of the receiver $i$ with respect to the origin, $t$ is the time, $e^t$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $e$, and $R^{-1}$ is the inverse of the matrix $R$.

Capon’s algorithm does not consider the beam pattern, neither during transmission nor reception. As Capon’s algorithm cannot contain a priori information, the beam weighting effects cannot be removed easily (Hysell and Chau, 2006). An example of the beam weighting effect on data is shown in Fig. 2 for the brightness in a vertical and in a $20^\circ$ off-zenith beam. Due to aliasing, the pattern is repeated which can be seen at the corners of Fig. 2 (left panel). The effect of the antenna pattern of the transmitting and receiving beams are qualitatively in good agreement with the theoretical antenna pattern. Differences are attributed to the integration time used. Trying to remove the beam pattern afterwards may lead to an overestimation at the image periphery. This happens because it implies dividing by small numbers at the outer edges of the beam pattern.

However, the resulting brightness, i.e. the antenna pattern plus PMSE brightness, can be measured. From this brightness we can estimate the deviations of echo center from the beam pointing direction. For our analysis below, we selected the brightest pixel to be the mean echo center.

### 4 Results

To avoid the analysis of low SNR data, we set the threshold for the detection of PMSE to a SNR of 8 dB. When the signal becomes noisy outside a PMSE layer due to the cosmic background, the mean scatter location is randomly distributed. Most of the time, more than one center of brightness appear in these noisy images.

The range-time-intensity (RTI) plots of the SNR for one day using the zenith and a $25^\circ$ off-zenith beam pointing northward ($\phi = 90^\circ$) are shown in Fig. 3. PMSE occur in the altitudinal interval of 80–90 km, viz. for oblique beams, these altitudes corresponds to ranges of 88–100 km in a $25^\circ$
Figure 3. Range-time-intensity plot of PMSE occurring on 07 July 2012 in the zenith beam (left) and a beam tilted 25° off-zenith (right). Color coded is the SNR, whereas only a SNR greater than 8 dB is displayed. The PMSE observed by the zenith beam occur in altitudes between about 80 and 90 km, but appear at longer ranges in the tilted beam.

Figure 4. Deviation of the brightness peak from the beam pointing directions. Zenith (left) and 25° off-zenith (right). The echo center is determined from brightest pixel. In the tilted beam the mean center deviates significantly from the pointing directions.

off-zenith beam. Comparing both RTI plots, it can be seen that the PMSE layer occurs in the tilted beam (right panel) at a longer and wider range than in the zenith beam (left panel), as expected. Besides, the two layers observed around 12:00 UTC in the vertical beam were not detected by the tilted beams.

The brightness for each range gate and pointing direction was gridded with a meridional and zonal step width of 0.01 rad. Next, the off-zenith angles $\alpha$ for the brightest pixel was calculated. The results for the zenith beam and the off-zenith beam using the brightest pixel are shown for one day in Fig. 4. For a vertical beam, shown in the left panel of Fig. 4, the deviation is calculated with respect to the swivel direction. Deviations up to $\pm 3^\circ$ occur, but no pattern with the same direction of the deviation can be identified. Therefore we do not see evidence of tilted layers during the time of our observation.

In the right panel of Fig. 4, the deviation of the mean backscatter from the nominal beam pointing angle 25° off-zenith is shown. It can be seen that deviations away from/towards the zenith (positive/negative values) occur in the upper/lower part of the PMSE layer. In between, the off-zenith angle of the mean backscatter location is almost the same as the nominal beam pointing angle. Comparing this with the SNR of the range gate, especially for the large off-zenith angles, the large deviations belong to low SNR values resulting from a low beam filling factor. Higher SNR values occur mostly in the middle of the PMSE layer, where the deviations from the nominal pointing directions are small and the beam volume appears to be homogeneously filled.

In Figs. 5 and 6 a swivel from North to South and East to West, respectively, is shown for the same date. Greater off-zenith pointing beams show deviations of echo centers from the beam directions and such deviations emerge at the outer parts of the PMSE layer. Moreover, sub-structures indicated by the deviations of echo centers appear in some beams with $\Theta \geq 10^\circ$. More than one layer can be seen in tilted beams in contrast to the RTI plots. An example for this occurs at about 12:00 UTC in the beam pointing towards $\phi = 90^\circ$ with $\Theta \geq 10^\circ$. From the SNR plot in Fig. 3 (right panel), the PMSE appears to consist of one thick layer, however two layers are visible after the CRI analysis (see Fig. 5). Using CRI, the two layers observed in the vertical beam (left panel of Fig. 3) can now be observed in the tilted beams.

MAARSY’s half power beam width of 3.6° corresponds to a horizontal extension of 5 km at 80 km altitude, a tilted beam pointing 25° off-zenith spans a height of 2.4 km. If the beam volume is inhomogeneously filled with PMSE, the mean backscatter location shifts toward the filled part of the beam volume. Due to the larger height coverage at great off-zenith angles, the beam volume is only partly filled at the outer areas of the PMSE layer. Therefore in the upper/lower
part of the PMSE layer the mean backscatter location shifts downwards/upwards, indicated by positive/negative $\alpha$. The small deviations in the middle part of the PMSE region indicate that such regions are homogenously filled. No large deviation of the mean scatterer location from the nominal beam pointing direction.

5 Conclusions

Our PMSE observations applying CRI in tilted beams show a deviation of the mean scatter location depending on the tilt angle of the beam. To determine the mean backscatter location, we assumed a single center distribution and used the brightest pixel as a proxy of the mean backscatter location. Using tilted beams, sub-structures like small layers appear sometimes in oblique beams that cannot be seen in the SNR. This analysis might be used in the future to investigate the inner structures such as sub-layers on a higher spatial resolution.

Taking into account the smearing effect over height and also the fact, that the mean scattering center in the upper/lower part is less/greater than the beam pointing direction, we conclude that the radar volume of the tilted beam at the edge regions of PMSE is not homogenously filled. Only if the beam volume is homogenously filled, the deviation from...
the nominal off-zenith angle of the beam is around zero. As the beam volume is not totally filled at large off-zenith angles at the edges of the PMSE, the backscattered power is smaller compared to the vertical beam. Woodman and Chu (1989) suggest a scattering mechanism for turbulent atmospheric layers which are discrete over height. The sharp boundaries described in their layer model are consistent with our measurements, although they investigated echoes in the lower latitudes.

So far, we can not distinguish between a homogeneous filled beam volume and several thin layers. But in some cases, our method reveals sub-layers in tilted beams which are hard to detect using only the power as an indicator.

The deviation of the mean scatterer from the beam pointing direction should also be considered in wind calculations using PMSE as a tracer as already indicated by Stober et al. (2013). Using CRI to locate the scattering center can lead to better results since we showed that significant deviations of echo centers from the beam direction occur systematically at the PMSE edges. As Huaman and Balsley (1998) pointed out, the aspect sensitivity of PMSE has also to be taken into account for measuring the wind velocities, but our results indicate that this is only true at the lower and upper regions of the PMSE. The necessary corrections are more complicated and depend on the probed part of the PMSE layer and therefore alter with altitude and time.
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